Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1978–1980

Tris(8-quinolinolato-*N*,*O*)manganese(III) Ethanol Solvate

REN-GEN XIONG AND XIAO-ZENG YOU

Coordination Chemistry Institute, State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210008, People's Republic of China

QIANG-JIN WU AND XIAO-YING HUANG

State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Academia Sinica, Fuzhou 350002, People's Republic of China

(Received 31 January 1995; accepted 20 April 1995)

Abstract

Tris(8-quinolinolato-N,O)manganese(III) ethanol solvate, [Mn(C₉H₆NO)₃].C₂H₅OH, has distorted *mer*-octahedral coordination about the central Mn atom composed of three N atoms and three O atoms from three 8-quinolinolato ligands. The ethanol solvate molecule is trapped in a cage-like cavity. The three Mn—O bond distances are in the range 1.897 (5)–1.916 (5) Å, while the three Mn—N bond distances range from 2.038 (6) to 2.243 (5) Å.

Comment

Extensive investigations have been carried out on the ¹H NMR spectra, ligand-centered redox processes and EPR spectra of tris(8-quinolinolato)manganese(III) (Philippa, Dennis & Quero, 1987; Silvia, Paul & Donald, 1989; Dexheimer, Gohdes, Chan, Hagen, Armstrong & Klein, 1989), due in part to trivalent manganese being implicated in many redox-active metalloenzymes, including the photosynthetic oxygenevolving complex superoxide dismutase, pseudocatalase and ribonucleotide reductase (Dexheimer *et al.*, 1989). Also, 8-quinolinol derivatives and complexes with transition metals have additional practical applications (Marguez, Zabala & Romas, 1992; Uma & Krishnamuirthi,



 \bigcirc 1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

1984). Although the synthesis and characterization of the title complex, (I), have been discussed previously (Burns, Cardwell & Cattrall, 1971; Philippa *et al.*, 1987), its crystal structure, to our knowledge, has not been reported.

The central Mn atom in (I) is octahedrally coordinated by a meridional arrangement of three N and three O atoms. The octahedron is distorted as a result of the forced configuration of the five-membered chelate ring. The structure is very similar to that of tris(8-quinolinolato)chromium(III) (CrO₃) (Folting, Cox, Moore & Merritt, 1968) which has cell dimensions very similar to those of (I). Thus, (I) and CrO_3 appear to be isomorphous and isostructural. The ethanol molecules do not take part in the coordination, but are trapped in a cage-like cavity - an arrangement also found in the crystal of tris(8-quinolinolato)chromium(III) (Folting et al., 1971). The Mn-O bond distances in (I) [1.912(4), 1.916 (5) and 1.897 (5) Å] are slightly shorter than those in $[Mn(H-Quin)_3][Mn(H_2O)_6]$ [2.131 (3)–2.173 (4) Å] $(H_2-Quin = quinolinic acid)$ (Goher, Youssef, Zhou & Mak, 1993). Similarly, the Mn-N bond distances [2.038 (6), 2.209 (6) and 2.243 (5) Å] are somewhat shorter than those in [Mn(H-Quin)₃][Mn(H₂O)₆] [2.286 (3)–2.257 (4) Å]. It is worth noting that the Mn-N(1) bond distance [2.038(6)Å] is by far the shortest of the three Mn-N distances, probably due to the differing trans influence of O and N donor atoms and perhaps also to the presence of solvent ethanol molecules. A similar trend is observed for the Cr-N distances in CrQ_3 , but the differences are too small to be significant [2.053 (8)–2.075 (9) Å] (Folting et al., 1971).



Fig. 1. Molecular structure showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

- . . .

Experimental

The title complex was prepared by heating $MnCl_2.4H_2O$, 8quinolinol and 4-methylpyridine under reflux in CHCl₃/EtOH solution for 1 h. The resulting solution was evaporated at room temperature for a few weeks. Black plate-shaped crystals were obtained. The IR spectrum displayed a strong absorption band at 3376 cm⁻¹ and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy gave binding energies (eV) for Mn_{2p} (645), N_{1s} (402.3), O_{1s} (534.0) and C_{1s} (287.7).

> Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71069$ Å Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 14.09-16.75^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.546$ mm⁻¹ T = 296 K Plate

> $0.34 \times 0.34 \times 0.05$ mm

Black

Crystal data

Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer	2304 observed reflections $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.009$
Absorption correction:	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25^{\circ}$
ψ scans (Molecular	$h = -13 \rightarrow 13$
Structure Corporation,	$k = 0 \rightarrow 15$
1985)	$l = 0 \rightarrow 20$
$T_{\min} = 0.903, T_{\max} =$	3 standard reflections
1.000	monitored every 300
4749 measured reflections	reflections
4646 independent reflections	intensity decay: 1%

Refinement

Refinement on F	$w = 1/\sigma^2(F)$
R = 0.064	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.05$
wR = 0.069	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.37 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 1.42	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.34 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
2222 reflections	Extinction correction: none
334 parameters	Atomic scattering factors
H-atom parameters not	from Cromer & Waber
refined	(1974)

 Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$B_{\rm eq} = (8\pi^2/3)\sum_i\sum_j U_{ij}a_i^*a_i^*\mathbf{a}_i.\mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	у	Z	Bea
Mn	0.0666 (1)	0.01230 (7)	0.25407 (6)	3.11 (5)
O(1)	-0.1037 (4)	0.0042 (3)	0.2623 (3)	3.8 (2)
O(2)	0.2300 (4)	0.0071 (4)	0.2267 (3)	4.0 (2)
O(3)	0.0776 (4)	0.1460 (3)	0.2944 (3)	3.9 (2)
N(1)	0.0455 (5)	-0.1372 (4)	0.2273 (3)	2.9 (3)
N(2)	0.0428 (6)	0.0699 (4)	0.1322 (4)	4.2 (3)
N(3)	0.1204 (5)	-0.0187 (4)	0.3817 (3)	3.5 (3)
C(1)	-0.0743 (6)	-0.1659 (5)	0.2289 (3)	2.8 (3)
C(2)	-0.1125 (7)	-0.2646 (5)	0.2131 (4)	3.8 (4)
C(3)	0.1507 (8)	0.0744 (5)	0.1033 (4)	4.0 (4)
C(4)	0.167(1)	0.1121 (6)	0.0275 (5)	5.3 (5)
C(5)	0.1265 (6)	0.0715 (5)	0.4187 (4)	3.4 (4)

C(6)	0.1551 (6)	0.0820 (6)	0.5019 (5)	4.1 (4)
C(11)	-0.1511 (6)	-0.0870 (5)	0.2466 (3)	2.9 (3)
C(12)	-0.2730 (6)	-0.1089 (6)	0.2457 (4)	4.3 (4)
C(13)	-0.3137 (7)	-0.2076 (7)	0.2308 (5)	4.9 (4)
C(14)	-0.2378 (8)	-0.2844 (6)	0.2146 (5)	5.3 (5)
C(15)	-0.0265 (8)	-0.3351 (6)	0.1938 (5)	5.0 (4)
C(16)	0.0900 (8)	-0.3071 (6)	0.1936 (5)	5.0 (4)
C(17)	0.1242 (6)	-0.2063 (6)	0.2088 (4)	3.9 (4)
C(21)	0.2526 (7)	0.0403 (5)	0.1563 (5)	3.9 (4)
C(22)	0.3638 (8)	0.0419 (6)	0.1296 (6)	5.6 (5)
C(23)	0.377 (1)	0.0787 (8)	0.0526 (7)	7.2 (6)
C(24)	0.284(1)	0.1118 (8)	0.0035 (6)	7.2 (7)
C(25)	0.062(1)	0.1434 (8)	-0.0172 (6)	7.1 (6)
C(26)	-0.045 (1)	0.1393 (8)	0.0114 (6)	7.5 (6)
C(27)	-0.0544 (8)	0.1008 (6)	0.0867 (5)	5.7 (5)
C(31)	0.1046 (6)	0.1585 (5)	0.3718 (4)	3.3 (3)
C(32)	0.1114 (7)	0.2524 (5)	0.4050 (5)	4.2 (4)
C(33)	0.1409 (7)	0.2612 (6)	0.4869 (5)	5.1 (4)
C(34)	0.1614 (7)	0.1796 (7)	0.5333 (5)	5.1 (5)
C(35)	0.1713 (7)	-0.0102 (7)	0.5440 (4)	5.0 (4)
C(36)	0.1607 (7)	-0.0993 (6)	0.5069 (5)	4.9 (5)
C(37)	0.1365 (7)	-0.1004 (6)	0.4252 (5)	4.2 (4)
0(4)	0.4402 (6)	-0.0864 (5)	0.2898 (5)	9.2 (5)
C(7)	0.437 (1)	-0.146 (1)	0.358 (1)	11 (1)
C(8)	0.474 (1)	-0.087 (1)	0.431 (1)	14 (1)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Mn—O(1)	1.912 (4)	MnO(2)	1.916 (5)
Mn—O(3)	1.897 (5)	Mn-N(1)	2.038 (6)
Mn—N(2)	2.209 (6)	Mn-N(3)	2.243 (5)
O(1)C(11)	1.333 (7)	O(2)C(21)	1.327 (8)
O(3)C(31)	1.340 (7)		
O(1)-Mn-N(1)	82.5 (2)	O(2)-Mn-N(2)	79.4 (2)
O(3)-Mn-N(3)	79.3 (2)	N(1)— Mn — $N(2)$	97.0 (2)
N(2)-Mn-N(3)	167.4 (2)	N(1)-Mn-N(3)	93.2 (2)
O(1)O(2)	168.8 (2)	O(2)-Mn-O(3)	95.2 (2)
O(1)MnO(3)	93.2 (2)	O(1)-Mn-N(2)	93.2 (2)
O(1)MnN(3)	95.5 (2)	O(2)-Mn-N(1)	90.1 (2)
O(2)MnN(3)	93.2 (2)	O(3)-Mn-N(1)	171.1 (2)
O(3)-Mn-N(2)	91.1 (2)		

Data collection was performed using *CONTROL* software (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1986). The structure was solved by direct methods using *MITHRIL* (Gilmore, 1983); the heavy atom Mn was located in an *E* map and the remaining non-H atoms were located using the *DIRDIF* (Beurskens, 1984) program. H atoms were placed in geometrically calculated positions with C—H = 0.95 Å, but were not included in the refinement. The structure was refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques with anisotropic displacement parameters for all atoms. Calculations were performed on a VAX3100 computer using the *TEXSAN* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1985) program package.

This work is supported by a grant for major project from the State Science and Technology Commission, and the National Science Foundation of China.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: MU1177). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

Beurskens, P. T. (1984). DIRDIF. Direct Methods for Difference Structures – An Automatic Procedure for Phase Extension and Refinement of Difference Structure Factors. Technical Report 1984/1. Crystallography Laboratory, Toernooiveld, 6525, ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands. Burns, A. R., Cardwell, T. J. & Cattrall, R. W. (1971). Aust. J. Chem. 24, 661–663.

- Cromer, D. T. & Waber, J. T. (1974). International Tables for Xray Crystallography, Vol. IV, Tables 2.2A and 2.3.1. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- Dexheimer, S. L., Gohdes, J. W., Chan, M., Hagen, K. S., Armstrong, W. H. & Klein, M. P. (1989). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 111, 8923–8925.
- Folting, K., Cox, M. M., Moore, J. W. & Merritt, J. L. L. (1968). Chem. Commun. pp. 1170-1171.
- Gilmore, C. J. (1983). MITHRIL. Computer Program for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Data. Department of Chemistry, Univ. of Glasgow, Scotland.
- Goher, M. A. S., Youssef, A. A., Zhou, Z.-Y. & Mak, T. C. W. (1993). Polyhedron, 12, 1871–1878.

Marguez, F., Zabala, I. & Romas, F. (1992). J. Lumin. 54, 13-22.

- Molecular Structure Corporation (1985). TEXSAN. TEXRAY Structure Analysis Package. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1986). CONTROL. An Automation Package for Rigaku AFC Single Crystal Diffractometers. Revised 1988. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Philippa, A., Dennis, F. E. & Quero, S. (1987). Polyhedron, 6, 2003– 2007.
- Silvia, A. R., Paul, S. T. & Donald, T. S. (1989). Inorg. Chem. 28, 2471–2475.
- Uma, L. & Krishnamuirthi, V. S. (1984). Leather Sci. (Madras), 31, 15-16.

CuNO₄ coordination and the alkoxide O atom of each ligand is triply bridging. The Cu—O bond distances within the Cu₄O₄ framework are in the range 1.898 (9)–2.618 (7) Å, whereas the four Cu—N bond lengths range from 1.916 (9) to 1.929 (8) Å.

Comment

Schiff base compounds have found applications in many fields, being particularly excellent candidates for building a novel type of conductive organic material (Hadjoudis, Vittorakis & Moustakali-Mavridis 1987). They have also attracted broad attention because of their ferromagnetic properties (Hines & Theriot, 1991). Although the title complex (I) has been prepared previously and some of its physical properties described, its crystal structure had not been reported (Hines & Theriot, 1991).



Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1980–1982

Tetrameric Copper(II) Complex of 6-Hydroxy-3-methyl-1-phenyl-4-azahexa-3-en-1-one

HOONG-KUN FUN AND BOON-CHUAN YIP

X-ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia

BAO-LIN SONG, REN-GEN XIONG AND XIAO-ZENG YOU

Coordination Chemistry Institute, State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Centre for Advanced Studies in Science and Technology of Microstructures, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China

(Received 16 February 1995; accepted 26 April 1995)

Abstract

The title complex, tetrakis(μ_3 -3-methyl-1-phenyl-4azahexa-1,3-diene-1,6-diolato)tetracopper, [Cu₄(C₁₂H₁₃-NO₂)₄] forms a cubane-like tetramer. Within the Cu₄O₄ framework all four Cu atoms have square-pyramidal

The structure of (I) consists of cubane-like tetramers with four square-pyramidally coordinated Cu atoms and four alkoxide O atoms at the corners of the cube (Fig. 1). It can also be described as containing a folded eight-membered ring in a boat-like conformation with short Cu-O distances [1.898 (9)-1.976 (7) Å] defining the ring, which forms the cubane-like molecule through two pairs of long mutually perpendicular Cu-O interactions [2.391 (7)-2.618 (7) Å]. Compared with β -CuEIA (EIA = 7-hydroxy-4-methyl-5-azahept-4-en-2-one) (Mergehenn, Merz, Haase & Allmann, 1976), which has a similar Cu₄O₄ framework with Cu-O distances of 1.907-2.505 Å, the larger range of Cu-O distances observed in (I) shows that the cubic Cu₄O₄ framework is more distorted. The Cu atoms have distorted square-pyramidal CuNO₄ coordination with one N and two O atoms of the same chelate ligand plus an O atom of another ligand of the tetramer forming the base of the pyramid; an O atom of another ligand occupies the axial position. The Cu-N bond lengths are in the range 1.916 (9)-1.929 (8) Å, and are in good agreement with values reported for comparable bonds in β -CuEIA and copper phthalocyanine (Brown, 1968). Bond lengths in the ligands are unexceptional. C9B has a highly anisotropic displacement tensor which may indicate positional disorder.